

ETSI TS 132 151 V12.0.0 (2014-10)



**Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+);
Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS);
LTE;
Telecommunication management;
Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS)
template
(3GPP TS 32.151 version 12.0.0 Release 12)**



Reference

RTS/TSGS-0532151vc00

Keywords

GSM,LTE,UMTS

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

http://portal.etsi.org/chaicor/ETSI_support.asp

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2014.

All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™** and **LTE™** are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<http://ipr.etsi.org>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under <http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp>.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**may not**", "**need**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Intellectual Property Rights | 2 |
| Foreword..... | 2 |
| Modal verbs terminology..... | 2 |
| Foreword..... | 5 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| 1 Scope | 6 |
| 2 References | 6 |
| 3 Definitions and abbreviations..... | 7 |
| 3.1 Definitions | 7 |
| 3.2 Abbreviations | 7 |
| 4 Information Service (IS) template | 7 |
| W1 Scope | 9 |
| W2 Scope | 9 |
| X Information Object Classes | 9 |
| X.1 Imported information entities and local labels | 9 |
| X.2 Class diagram | 10 |
| X.2.1 Attributes and relationships | 10 |
| X.2.2 Inheritance | 10 |
| X.3 Information object class definitions | 11 |
| X.3.a InformationObjectClassName..... | 11 |
| X.3.a.1 Definition | 11 |
| X.3.a.2 Attributes..... | 11 |
| X.3.a.3 Attribute constraints | 12 |
| X.3.a.4 Relationships..... | 12 |
| X.3.a.5 State diagram..... | 12 |
| X.3.a.6 Notifications..... | 12 |
| X.4 Information relationship definitions | 14 |
| X.4.a InformationRelationshipName (supportQualifier)..... | 14 |
| X.4.a.1 Definition | 14 |
| X.4.a.2 Roles | 14 |
| X.4.a.3 Constraints | 14 |
| X.5 Information attribute definitions..... | 15 |
| X.5.1 Definition and Legal Values | 15 |
| X.5.2 Constraints | 15 |
| X.6 Common Notifications | 15 |
| X.7 System State Model..... | 15 |
| Y Interface Definition | 16 |
| Y.1 Class diagram representing interfaces | 16 |
| Y.2 Generic rules | 16 |
| Y.b InterfaceName Interface (supportQualifier)..... | 16 |
| Y.b.a Operation OperationName (supportQualifier) | 17 |
| Y.b.a.1 Definition | 17 |
| Y.b.a.2 Input parameters..... | 17 |
| Y.b.a.3 Output parameters | 17 |
| Y.b.a.4 Pre-condition..... | 19 |
| Y.b.a.5 Post-condition | 19 |
| Y.b.a.6 Exceptions..... | 19 |
| Y.b.a.6.c exceptionName | 20 |
| Y.b.a.7 Constraints | 20 |

Y.b.a Notification NotificationName (supportQualifier)21

Y.b.a.1 Definition21

Y.b.a.2 Input parameters21

Y.b.a.3 Triggering event21

Y.b.a.3.1 From state22

Y.b.a.3.2 To state22

Y.b.a.4 Constraints22

Y.c Scenario22

Annex A (informative): Change history23

History24

Foreword

This Technical Specification has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

Introduction

The present document is part of a TS-family covering the 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Telecommunication management; as identified below:

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| TS 32.150: | Integration Reference Point (IRP) Concept and definitions |
| TS 32.151: | Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS) template |
| TS 32.152: | Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS) Unified Modelling Language (UML) repertoire |
| TS 32.153 | Integration Reference Point (IRP) technology specific templates |
| TS 32.154 | Backward and Forward Compatibility (BFC); Concept and definitions |
| TS 32.155 | Requirements template |

1 Scope

The present document contains the template to be used for the production of all Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS) specifications within the 3GPP 32-series.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] 3GPP TS 32.101: "Telecommunication management; Principles and high level requirements".
- [2] 3GPP TS 32.102: "Telecommunication management; Architecture".
- [3] 3GPP TS 32.150: "Telecommunication management; Integration Reference Point (IRP) Concept and definitions".
- [4] 3GPP TS 32.152: "Telecommunication management; Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS) Unified Modelling Language (UML) repertoire".
- [5] 3GPP TS 32.622: "Telecommunication management; Configuration Management (CM); Generic network resources Integration Reference Point (IRP): Network Resource Model (NRM)".
- [6] 3GPP TS 32.111-2: "Telecommunication management; Fault Management; Part 2: Alarm Integration Reference Point (IRP): Information Service".
- [7] 3GPP TS 32.302: "Telecommunication Management; Configuration Management (CM); Notification Integration Reference Point (IRP): Information Service (IS)".
- [8] ITU-T Recommendation M.3020 (2009): Management interface specification methodology – Annex E Information type definitions – type repertoire.
- [9] 3GPP TS 32.602: "Telecommunication Management; Configuration Management (CM): Basic CM IRP: Information Service (IS)".
- [10] 3GPP TS 32.612: "Telecommunication Management; Configuration Management (CM): Bulk CM IRP: Information Service (IS)".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in 3GPP TS 32.101 [1], 3GPP TS 32.102 [2], 3GPP TS 32.150 [3] and the following apply:

IRPAgent: See 3GPP TS 32.150 [3].

IRPManager: See 3GPP TS 32.150 [3].

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in 3GPP TS 32.101 [1], 3GPP TS 32.102 [2], 3GPP TS 32.150 [3] and the following apply:

| | |
|-----|----------------------------------|
| IOC | Information Object Class |
| IRP | Integration Reference Point |
| IS | Information Service |
| OMG | Object Management Group |
| UML | Unified Modelling Language (OMG) |

4 Information Service (IS) template

The present document contains the templates to be used for the production of all Integration Reference Point (IRP) Information Service (IS) specifications within the 3GPP 32-series.

For the introductory clause 1 (Scope) of all NRM IRP ISs, clause W1 shall be used.

For the introductory clause 1 (Scope) of all Interface IRP ISs, clause W2 shall be used.

For the introductory clauses 2 and 3 of all IRP ISs, the text shall be written conforming to the standard 3GPP TS template (i.e. not this IRP IS template).

The other clauses in this template that shall be used in the IS specifications following their introductory clauses are numbered starting with "X", which in general should correspond to clause 4 that is the beginning of the main part of the TS. However, if there is a need in a specific IS to introduce additional clauses in the body, X may correspond to a number higher than 4.

For a NRM IRP IS, only clause X shall be used.

For an Interface IRP IS, both clauses X and Y shall be used.

The IS template uses qualifiers M, O, CM, CO and C. The semantics of these qualifiers are defined in TS 32.150 [3].

The IS template uses Information Type as one characteristic to describe IOC attributes and operation/notification parameters. The valid Information Type(s) that can be used and their semantics are defined in ITU-T M.3020 Annex E [8].

Usage of fonts shall be according to the following table.

| Item | Font |
|---|-------------|
| Class names | Courier New |
| Attribute names | Courier New |
| Operation names | Courier New |
| Parameter names | Courier New |
| Assertion names | Courier New |
| Notification names | Courier New |
| Exception names | Courier New |
| State names | Arial |
| Matching Information | Courier New |
| Information Type | Courier New |
| Legal Values | Courier New |
| NOTE: These font requirements do not apply to UML diagrams. | |

W1 Scope

The following quoted text is relevant for all NRM IRP ISs. It shall be copied as the first two paragraphs of the NRM IRP IS specification. IRP IS author may add additional paragraph(s) if necessary.

The present document specifies the <<n>> (where <<n>> shall be substituted by the name of the NRM IRP IS concerned such as "HNS", "E_UTRAN", "GERAN") network resource information that can be communicated between an IRP Agent and one or several IRP Managers for network management purposes.

This document specifies the semantics and behaviour of information object class attributes and relations visible across the reference point in a protocol and technology neutral way. It does not define their syntax and encoding.

W2 Scope

The following quoted text is relevant for all Interface IRP ISs. It shall be copied as the first two paragraphs of the Interface IRP IS specification. IRP IS author may add additional paragraph(s) if necessary.

The present document specifies the <<n>> (where <<n>> shall be substituted by the name of the Interface IRP IS concerned such as 'Alarm', 'Test', 'Entry Point') management operations and notifications that can be communicated between an IRP Agent and one or several IRP Managers.

This document specifies the semantics and behaviour of operations, notifications and their parameters visible across the reference point in a protocol and technology neutral way. It does not define their syntax and encoding.

X Information Object Classes

This 'X' clause shall be used for NRM IRP ISs. This 'X' clause shall also be used for Interface IRP ISs.

"X" represents a clause number in the actual Information Service TS.

X.1 Imported information entities and local labels

This clause identifies a list of information entities (e.g. information object class, interface, information relationship, information attribute) that have been defined in other specifications and that are imported in the present specification. All imported entities shall be treated as if it is defined locally in present specification. One usage of import is for inheritance purpose. Each element of this list is a pair (label reference, local label). The label reference contains the name of the specification where it is defined, the type of the information entity and its name. The local label of imported information entities can then be used throughout the specification instead of the label reference.

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Label reference | Local label |
|---|-------------|
| 3GPP TS 32.622 [5], information object class, Top | Top |

X.2 Class diagram

X.2.1 Attributes and relationships

This first set of diagrams represents all information object classes defined in this IS with all their relationships and all their attributes, including relationships with imported IOCs (if any). These diagrams shall contain information object class cardinalities (for associations as well as containment relationships) and may also contain association names and role names. These shall be UML compliant class diagrams (see also 3GPP TS 32.152 [4]).

Characteristics (attributes, relationships) of imported information object classes need not to be repeated in the diagrams. Information object classes should be defined using the stereotype <<InformationObjectClass>>.

X.2.2 Inheritance

This second set of diagrams represents the inheritance hierarchy of all information object classes defined in this IS. These diagrams do not need to contain the complete inheritance hierarchy but shall at least contain the parent information object classes of all information object classes defined in the present document. By default, an information object class inherits from the information object class "top". These shall be UML compliant class diagrams.

Characteristics (attributes, relationships) of imported information object classes need not to be repeated in the diagrams. Information object classes should be defined using the stereotype <<InformationObjectClass>>.

NOTE: some inheritance relationships presented in clause X.2.2 can be repeated in clause X.2.1 to enhance readability.

X.3 Information object class definitions

Each information object class is defined using the following structure.

Inherited items (attributes etc.) shall not be shown, as they are defined in the parent IOC(s) and thus valid for all subclasses.

X.3.a InformationObjectClassName

InformationObjectClassName is the name of the information object class.

"a" represents a number, starting at 1 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of an IOC.

X.3.a.1 Definition

The <definition> subclause is written in natural language. The <definition> subclause refers to the information object class itself. The characteristics related to the relationships that the object class can have with other object classes can't be found in the definition. The reader has to refer to relationships definition to find such kind of information. Information related to inheritance shall be precised here.

For NRM IRP ISs, information on traceability back to one or more requirements supported by this IOC should also be defined here, in the following form:

| Referenced TS | Requirement label | Comment |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-CON-23 | Optional clarification |
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-FUN-11 | Optional clarification |

X.3.a.2 Attributes

The <attributes> subclause presents the list of attributes, which are the manageable properties of the object class. Each element is a tuple (attributeName, supportQualifier, readQualifier, writeQualifier):

- The supportQualifier indicates whether the attribute is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), SS-Conditional (C) or Not supported (—).
- The readQualifier indicates whether the attribute shall be readable by the IRPManager. Allowed values are: Mandatory (M), Optional (O) and Not supported (—).
- The writeQualifier indicates whether the attribute shall be writeable by the IRPManager. Allowed values are: Mandatory (M), Optional (O) and Not supported (—).

The semantics of the above used qualifiers are defined in TS 32.150 [3].

There is a dependency relationship between the supportQualifier, readQualifier, and writeQualifier. The supportQualifier indicates the requirements for the support of the attribute. For any given attribute, regardless of the value of the supportQualifier, at least one of the readQualifier or writeQualifier must be "M". The implication of the "O" supportQualifier is that the attribute is optional, however the read and write qualifiers indicate how the optional attribute shall be supported, should the optional attribute be supported.

Private or IRPAgent Internal attributes are per definition not readable by the IRPManager. Their readQualifier is hence always "—".

Private or IRPAgent Internal attributes are per definition not writable by the IRPManager. Their writeQualifier is hence always "—".

The readQualifier and writeQualifier of a supported attribute, that is public, may not be both "—".

The use of "—" in supportQualifier is reserved for documenting support of attributes defined by an «Archetype» IOC. Attributes with a supportQualifier of "—" are not implemented by the IOC that is realizing a subset of the attributes defined by the «Archetype». The readQualifier and writeQualifier are of no relevance in this case. However, a not supported attribute is neither readable nor writable. For this reason the readQualifier and writeQualifier shall be "—" for unsupported attributes.

For any IOC that uses one or more attributes from an «Archetype», a separate table shall be used to indicate the supported attributes. This table is absent if no «Archetype» attributes are supported. For example, if a particular IOC has defined attributes (i.e. attributes not defined by an «Archetype») and encapsulates attributes from two «Archetype»s, then the totality of the attributes of said IOC will be contained in three separate tables.

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given below:

| Attribute name | Support Qualifier | Read Qualifier | Write Qualifier |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| ntfSubscriptionId | M | M | O |

Another example, where the support qualifier is "O" is given here below:

| Attribute name | Support Qualifier | Read Qualifier | Write Qualifier |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| ntfSubscriptionId | O | M | O |

In this example, the ntfSubscriptionId is an optional attribute. If the implementation chose to support ntfSubscriptionId, then the said implementation is required to support read and may support write.

NOTE: This subclause shall state 'There is no attribute defined.' when there is no attribute to define.

X.3.a.3 Attribute constraints

The <attribute constraints> subclause presents constraints for the attributes, and one use is to present the predicates for conditional qualifiers (CM/CO).

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Name | Definition |
|--|---|
| pci CM write qualifier | Centralized PCI assignment (see TS 32.500, ref [15] subclause 6.1.6) is supported. |
| pciList CM support qualifier | Distributed PCI assignment (see TS 32.500, ref [15] subclause 6.1.6) is supported. |
| partOfSectorPower CM support qualifier | The IOC SectorEquipmentFunction is used. |
| attributeX max value | The value of attributeX shall be within the specified value range but may never be higher than the value of attributeY. |

NOTE: This subclause shall state 'There is no attribute constraint defined.' when there is no attribute constraints to define.

X.3.a.4 Relationships

The <relationship> subclause presents the list of relationships in which this class is involved. Each element is a relationshipName.

NOTE: This subclause shall state 'There is no attribute constraint defined.' when there is no relationship represented in the class diagram in clause X.2.1.

X.3.a.5 State diagram

The <state diagram> subclause contains state diagrams. A state diagram of an information object class defines permitted states of this information object class and the transitions between those states. A state is expressed in terms of individual attribute values or a combination of attribute values or involvement in relationships of the information object class being defined. This shall be a UML compliant state diagram.

NOTE: This subclause shall state 'There is no State diagram defined.' when there is no State diagram defined.

X.3.a.6 Notifications

The < Notifications> subclause, for this IOC, presents:

- a) optionally, a reference to the common notifications defined in subclause X.6 as valid for this IOC, and
- b) optionally, a list of notifications that shall be excluded from the list of common notifications (defined in subclause X.6) for this IOC (note: inherited notifications from the parent IOC(s) can not be excluded), and
- c) optionally, a list of notifications applicable to this IOC, and which may or may not be defined in the common notifications in subclause X.6.

The notifications identified in this subclause are notifications that can be emitted across the Itf-N, where the "object class" and "object instance" parameters of the notification header (see note 2) of these notifications identifies an instance of the IOC defined by the encapsulating subclause (i.e. clause X.3.a).

The notifications identified in this subclause, may originate from implementation object(s) whose identifier is mapped in the implementation, to the object instance identifier used over the Itf-N. Hence the presence of notifications in this clause (i.e. clause X.3.a.6) does not imply nor identify those notifications as being originated from an instance of the IOC defined by the encapsulating subclause (i.e. clause X.3.a).

The information related to option c) above is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given below:

| Name | Qualifier | Notes |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| notifyCMSynchronizationRecommended | O | Example notification. |

NOTE 1: This subclause shall state 'There is no notification defined for this IOC' when there is no notification defined for the IOC.

NOTE 2: The notification header is defined in the notification IRP Information service TS 32.302 [7].

NOTE 3: The qualifier of a notification, specified in Notification Table, indicates if such notification can carry the instance DN in the notification. The qualifier of a notification, specified in an Interface IRP, indicates the Interface IRP support level regarding the emission of the subject notification.

An IRPManager can receive notification-XYZ that carries DN of class-ABC instance if and only if:

- a) The class-ABC Notification Table defines the notification-XYZ and
- b) The class-ABC instance implementation supports this notification-XYZ and
- c) An Interface IRP defines the notification-XYZ and
- d) The Interface IRP implementation supports this notification-XYZ.

X.4 Information relationship definitions

Each information relationship is defined using the following structure.

Inherited relationships shall not be shown, as they are defined by the parent IOC(s) and thus valid for all subclasses.

X.4.a InformationRelationshipName (supportQualifier)

InformationRelationshipName is the name of the information relationship followed by a qualifier indicating whether the relationship is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C).

"a" represents a number, starting at 1 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of an information relationship.

X.4.a.1 Definition

The <definition> subclause is written in natural language.

X.4.a.2 Roles

The <roles> subclause identifies the roles played in the relationship by object classes. Each element is a pair (roleName, roleDefinition).

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Name | Definition |
|----------------|--|
| isSubscribedBy | This role represents the one who has subscribed. |

X.4.a.3 Constraints

The <constraints> subclause contains the list of properties specifying the semantic invariants that must be preserved on the relationship. Each element is a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). Those properties are always held to be true during the lifetime of the relationship and don't need to be repeated in pre or post conditions of operations or notifications.

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Name | Definition |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| inv_notificationCategoriesAllDistinct | The notification categories contained in the ntfNotificationCategorySet attribute of NtfSubscription playing the role theNtfSubscription are all distinct from each other. |

X.5 Information attribute definitions

Each information attribute is defined using the following structure.

Inherited attributes shall not be shown, as they are defined in the parent IOC(s) and thus valid for all subclasses.

X.5.1 Definition and Legal Values

This subclause contains for each attribute being defined its Attribute Name, its Definition written in natural language, its Information Type (see [8]) and an optional list of Legal Values supported by the attribute.

In the case where the Legal Values can be enumerated, each element is a pair (Legal Value Name, Legal Value Semantics), unless a Legal Value Semantics applies to several values in which case the Semantics is provided only once. When the Legal Values cannot be enumerated, the list of Legal Values is defined by a single definition.

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Attribute Name | Definition | Information Type / Legal Values |
|----------------------|---|--|
| ntfSubscriptionId | It identifies uniquely a subscription | INTEGER / -- |
| ntfSubscriptionState | It indicates the activation state of a subscription | ENUMERATED / "suspended": the subscription is suspended. "notSuspended": the subscription is active. |

X.5.2 Constraints

The <constraints> subclause indicates whether there are any constraints affecting attributes. Each constraint is defined by a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). PropertyDefinitions are expressed in natural language.

An example is given here below:

| Name | Definition |
|----------------------|---|
| inv_TimerConstraints | The ntfTimeTickTimer is lower than or equal to ntfTimeTick. |

X.6 Common Notifications

This <Common Notifications> subclause presents a list of notifications that can be referred to by any IOC defined by this IRP specification. These notifications are only applicable to IOCs referring to this subclause in subclause 'X.3.a.6'. This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given below:

| Name | Qualifier | Notes |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| notifyAttributeValueChange | O | Example common notification |
| notifyObjectCreation | O | Example |
| notifyObjectDeletion | O | Example |

NOTE: This clause does not need to be present when there are no common notifications.

X.7 System State Model

Some configurations of information are special or complex enough to justify the usage of a state diagram to clarify them. A state diagram in this clause defines permitted states of the system and the transitions between those states. A state is expressed in terms of a combination of attribute values constraints or involvement in relationships of one or more information object classes.

Y Interface Definition

This 'Y' clause shall be used for Interface IRP ISs.

"Y" represents a number, immediately following "X".

Y.1 Class diagram representing interfaces

Each interface is defined in the diagram. This shall be a UML compliant class diagram (see also 3GPP TS 32.152 [4]).

Interfaces are defined using a stereotype <<Interface>>. Each interface contains a set of either operations or notifications which are mandatory or either a single operation or a single notification which is optional. The support of an interface by an information object class is represented by a relationship between the 2 entities with a cardinality (1..1) if all the operations or notifications contained in the interface are mandatory, and (0..1) if the operation or notification contained in the interface is optional. On the class diagram, each operation and notification in an interface shall be qualified as "public" by the addition of a symbol "+" before each operation and notification.

Y.2 Generic rules

The following rules are relevant for all ISs. They shall simply be copied as part of the specification.

Rule 1: each operation with at least one input parameter supports a pre-condition `valid_input_parameter` which indicates that all input parameters shall be valid with regards to their information type. Additionally, each such operation supports an exception `operation_failed_invalid_input_parameter` which is raised when pre-condition `valid_input_parameter` is false. The exception has the same entry and exit state.

Rule 2: Each operation with at least one optional input parameter supports a set of pre-conditions `supported_optional_input_parameter_yyy` where "yyy" is the name of the optional input parameter and the pre-condition indicates that the operation supports the named optional input parameter. Additionally, each such operation supports an exception `operation_failed_unsupported_optional_input_parameter_yyy` which is raised when (a) the pre-condition `supported_optional_input_parameter_yyy` is false and (b) the named optional input parameter is carrying information. The exception has the same entry and exit state.

Rule 3: each operation shall support a generic exception `operation_failed_internal_problem` which is raised when an internal problem occurs and that the operation cannot be completed. The exception has the same entry and exit state.

Y.b InterfaceName Interface (supportQualifier)

InterfaceName is the name of the interface followed by a qualifier indicating whether the interface is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C).

"b" represents a number, starting at 3 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of an interface.

Each interface is defined by its name and by a sequence of operations or notifications.

Interfaces related to operations shall be listed before the interfaces related to notifications.

If the interface is related to operation(s), the following Y.b.a "Operation OperationName (supportQualifier)" shall be applied.

If the interface is related to notification(s), the next Y.b.a "Notification NotificationName (supportQualifier)" below shall be applied.

Y.b.a Operation OperationName (supportQualifier)

OperationName is the name of the operation followed by a qualifier indicating whether the operation is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C).

"a" represents a number, starting at 1 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of an operation.

Y.b.a.1 Definition

The <definition> subclause is written in natural language.

Information on traceability back to one or more requirements supported by this operation should also be defined here, in the following form:

| Referenced TS | Requirement label | Comment |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-CON-23 | Optional clarification |
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-FUN-11 | Optional clarification |

Y.b.a.2 Input parameters

List of input parameters of the operation. Each element is a tuple (Parameter Name, Support Qualifier, Information Type (see [8] and Note 1) and an optional list of Legal Values supported by the parameter, Comment). Legal Values for the Support Qualifier are: Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C).

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Parameter Name | Support Qualifier | Information Type / Legal Values | Comment |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| eventIdList | M | SET OF INTEGER / -- | One or more event identifiers |

Note 1: Information Type qualifies the parameter of Parameter Name. In the case where the Legal Values can be enumerated, each element is a pair (Legal Value Name, Legal Value Semantics), unless a Legal Value Semantics applies to several values in which case the definition is provided only once. When the Legal Values cannot be enumerated, the list of Legal Values is defined by a single definition.

Y.b.a.3 Output parameters

List of output parameters of the operation. Each element is a tuple (Parameter Name, Support Qualifier, Matching Information / Information Type (see [8]) (Note 1) and an optional list of Legal Values supported by the parameter, Comment). Legal Values for the Support Qualifier are: Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C).

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Parameter Name | Support Qualifier | Matching Information / Information Type / Legal Values | Comment |
|----------------|-------------------|---|--|
| eventTime | M | AlarmInformation.alarmRaisedTime / GeneralizedTime / -- | The parameter carries the <ul style="list-style-type: none"> alarmRaisedTime in case notificationType carries notifyNewAlarm, alarmChangedTime in case notificationType carries notifyChangedAlarm, alarmClearedTime in case notificationType carries notifyClearedAlarm. |

Note 1: Information Type qualifies the parameter of Parameter Name. In the case where the Legal Values can be enumerated, each element is a pair (Legal Value Name, Legal Value Semantics), unless a Legal Value Semantics applies to several values in which case the definition is provided only once. When the Legal Values cannot be enumerated, the list of Legal Values is defined by a single definition.

This table shall also include a special parameter "status" to indicate the completion status of the operation (success, partial success, failure reason etc.).

Y.b.a.4 Pre-condition

A pre-condition is a collection of assertions joined by AND, OR, and NOT logical operators. The pre-condition must be held to be true before the operation is invoked. An example is given here below:

*notificationCategoriesNotAllSubscribed OR
notificationCategoriesParameterAbsentAndNotAllSubscribed*

Each assertion is defined by a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). All assertions constituting the pre-condition are provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Assertion Name | Definition |
|--|---|
| notificationCategoriesNotAllSubscribed | At least one notificationCategory identified in the notificationCategories input parameter is supported by IRPAgent and is not a member of the ntfnotificationCategorySet attribute of an NtfSubscription which is involved in a subscription relationship with the NtfSubscriber identified by the managerReference input parameter. |
| notificationCategoriesParameterAbsentAndNotAllSubscribed | The notificationCategories input parameter is absent and at least one notificationCategory supported by IRPAgent is not a member of the ntfnotificationCategorySet attribute of an ntfnSubscription which is involved in a subscription relationship with the NtfSubscriber identified by the managerReference input parameter. |

Y.b.a.5 Post-condition

A post-condition is a collection of assertions joined by AND, OR, and NOT logical operators. The post-condition must be held to be true after the completion of the operation. When nothing is said in a post-condition regarding an information entity, the assumption is that this information entity has not changed compared to what is stated in the pre-condition. An example is given here below:

subscriptionDeleted OR allSubscriptionDeleted

Each assertion is defined by a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). All assertions constituting the post-condition are provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Assertion Name | Definition |
|------------------------|---|
| subscriptionDeleted | The ntfnSubscription identified by subscriptionId input parameter is no more involved in a subscription relationship with the ntfnSubscriber identified by the managerReference input parameter and has been deleted. If this ntfnSubscriber has no more ntfnSubscription, it is deleted as well. |
| allSubscriptionDeleted | In the case subscriptionId input parameter was absent, the ntfnSubscriber identified by the managerReference input parameter is no more involved in any subscription relationship and is deleted, the corresponding ntfnSubscription have been deleted as well. |

Y.b.a.6 Exceptions

List of exceptions that can be raised by the operation. Each element is a tuple (exceptionName, condition, ReturnedInformation, exitState).

Y.b.a.6.c exceptionName

ExceptionName is the name of an exception.

"c" represents a number, starting at 1 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of an exception.

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Exception Name | Definition |
|----------------------------------|---|
| ope_failed_existing_subscription | <p>Condition: (notificationCategoriesNotAllSubscribed OR notificationCategoriesParameterAbsentAndNotAllSubscribed) not verified.</p> <p>Returned information: output parameter status is set to OperationFailedExistingSubscription.</p> <p>Exit state: Entry State.</p> |

Each notification is defined using the following structure.

Y.b.a.7 Constraints

The < constraints> subclause presents constraints for the operation or its parameters.

NOTE: This subclause does not need to be present when there are no constraints to define.

Y.b.a Notification NotificationName (supportQualifier)

NotificationName is the name of the notification followed by a qualifier indicating whether the notification is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO) or SS-Conditional (C).

"a" represents a number, starting at 1 and increasing by 1 with each new definition of a notification.

Y.b.a.1 Definition

The <definition> subclause is written in natural language.

Information on traceability back to one or more requirements supported by this notification should also be defined here, in the following form:

| Referenced TS | Requirement label | Comment |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-CON-23 | Optional clarification |
| 3GPP TS 32.xyz [xy] | REQ-SM-FUN-11 | Optional clarification |

Y.b.a.2 Input parameters

List of input parameters of the notification. Each element is a tuple (Parameter Name, Qualifiers, Matching Information / Information Type (see [8]) (Note 1) and an optional list of Legal Values supported by the parameter, Comment).

The column "Qualifiers" contains the two qualifiers, Support Qualifier and Filtering Qualifier, separated by a comma. The Support Qualifier indicates whether the attribute is Mandatory (M), Optional (O), Conditional-Mandatory (CM), Conditional-Optional (CO), or SS-Conditional (C). The Filtering Qualifier indicates whether the parameter of the notification can be filtered or not. Values are Yes (Y) or No (N).

This information is provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Parameter Name | Qualifiers | Matching Information / Information Type / Legal Values | Comment |
|------------------|------------|--|--|
| managerReference | M,Y | ntfSubscriber.ntfManagerReference / STRING / -- | It specifies the reference of IRPManager to which notifications shall be sent. |
| alarmType | M,Y | AlarmInformation.eventType / ENUMERATED / "Communications Alarm": a communication error alarm. "Processing Error Alarm": a processing error alarm. "Environmental Alarm": an environmental violation alarm. "Quality Of Service Alarm": a quality of service violation alarm. "Equipment Alarm": an alarm related to equipment malfunction. | |

Note 1: Information Type qualifies the parameter of Parameter Name. In the case where the Legal Values can be enumerated, each element is a pair (Legal Value Name, Legal Value Semantics), unless a Legal Value Semantics applies to several values in which case the definition is provided only once. When the Legal Values cannot be enumerated, the list of Legal Values is defined by a single definition.

Y.b.a.3 Triggering event

The triggering event for the notification to be sent is the transition from the information state defined by the "from state" subclause to the information state defined by the "to state" subclause.

Y.b.a.3.1 From state

This subclause is a collection of assertions joined by AND, OR, and NOT logical operators. An example is given here below:

alarmMatched AND alarmInformationNotCleared

Each assertion is defined by a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). All assertions constituting the state "from state" are provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Assertion Name | Definition |
|----------------------------|--|
| alarmMatched | The matching-criteria-attributes of the newly generated network alarm has values that are identical (matches) with ones in one AlarmInformation in AlarmList.. |
| alarmInformationNotCleared | The perceivedSeverity of the newly generated network alarm is not Cleared. |

Y.b.a.3.2 To state

This subclause is a collection of assertions joined by AND, OR and NOT logical operators. When nothing is said in a to-state regarding an information entity, the assumption is that this information entity has not changed compared to what is stated in the from state. An example is given here below:

resetAcknowledgementInformation AND perceivedSeverityUpdated

Each assertion is defined by a pair (propertyName, propertyDefinition). All assertions constituting the state "to state" are provided in a table. An example of such a table is given here below:

| Assertion Name | Definition |
|---------------------------------|---|
| resetAcknowledgementInformation | The matched AlarmInformation identified in inv_alarmMatched in pre-condition has been updated according to the following rule: ackTime, ackUserId and ackSystemId are updated to contain no information; ackState is updated to "unacknowledged". |
| perceivedSeverityUpdated | The perceivedSeverity attribute of matched AlarmInformation identified in inv_alarmMatched in pre-condition has been updated. |

Y.b.a.4 Constraints

The < constraints> subclause presents constraints for the notification or its parameters.

NOTE: This subclause does not need to be present when there are no constraints to define.

Y.c Scenario

This subclause contains one or more sequence diagrams, each describing a possible scenario. These shall be UML compliant sequence diagrams. This is an optional subclause.

Annex A (informative): Change history

| Change history | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|------|-----|--|--------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Date | TSG # | TSG Doc. | CR | Rev | Subject/Comment | Cat | Old | New |
| Sep 2006 | SA_33 | SP-060548 | 0004 | -- | Update of 32.151 to use a MCC supported font | F | 6.3.0 | 7.0.0 |
| Dec 2006 | SA_34 | SP-060724 | 0005 | -- | Correct the clause numbering instructions for Operations and Notifications | F | 7.0.0 | 7.1.0 |
| Mar 2007 | SA_35 | SP-070045 | 0006 | -- | Suppress inherited items | F | 7.1.0 | 7.2.0 |
| Mar 2007 | SA_35 | SP-070045 | 0007 | -- | Improve specification of Common notifications | F | 7.1.0 | 7.2.0 |
| Mar 2007 | SA_35 | SP-070045 | 0008 | -- | Correct the references of IRPAgent and IRPManager | F | 7.1.0 | 7.2.0 |
| Sep 2007 | SA_37 | SP-070610 | 0009 | -- | Correct open issues in the IS template | F | 7.2.0 | 8.0.0 |
| Sep 2007 | SA_37 | SP-070610 | 0010 | -- | Add missing instructions for Interface IRP ISs | F | 7.2.0 | 8.0.0 |
| Sep 2007 | SA_37 | SP-070614 | 0011 | -- | Remove visibility qualifier usage | C | 7.2.0 | 8.0.0 |
| Jun 2008 | SA_40 | SP-080329 | 0012 | -- | Introduction of traceability in the IS template | C | 8.0.0 | 8.1.0 |
| Mar 2009 | SA_43 | SP-090207 | 0013 | -- | Correct subclause numbering | F | 8.1.0 | 8.2.0 |
| Mar 2009 | -- | -- | -- | -- | Editorial modifications | -- | 8.2.0 | 8.2.1 |
| Jun 2009 | SA_44 | SP-090290 | 0014 | -- | Introduce Information Type in IS template | C | 8.2.1 | 9.0.0 |
| Sep 2009 | SA_45 | SP-090627 | 0015 | -- | Introduce Information Type in IS template | D | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 |
| Dec 2009 | SA-46 | SP-090719 | 0016 | -- | Add template text for Scope section for all IRP IS | F | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 |
| Mar 2010 | SA-47 | SP-100035 | 0017 | -- | Clarify support qualifier usage in Notification Table in 32.151 | F | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| Mar 2010 | SA-47 | SP-100036 | 0018 | -- | Clarify Attribute constraints | C | 9.3.0 | 10.0.0 |
| Mar 2010 | SA-47 | SP-100036 | 0019 | -- | Correct the pseudo subclause numbering | F | 9.3.0 | 10.0.0 |
| Sep 2010 | SA-49 | SP-100488 | 0082 | -- | Clarification on the meaning of the imported entities | A | 10.0.0 | 10.1.0 |
| 2012-09 | - | - | - | - | - | Update to Rel-11 version (MCC) | 10.1.0 | 11.0.0 |
| 2014-10 | - | - | - | - | - | Update to Rel-12 version (MCC) | 11.0.0 | 12.0.0 |

History

| Document history | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| V12.0.0 | October 2014 | Publication |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |