

ETSI TS 133 187 V18.0.0 (2024-04)



**Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM);  
Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS);  
LTE;  
Security aspects of Machine-Type Communications (MTC)  
and other mobile data applications communications  
enhancements  
(3GPP TS 33.187 version 18.0.0 Release 18)**



---

**Reference**

RTS/TSGS-0333187vi00

---

**Keywords**

GSM,LTE,SECURITY,UMTS

**ETSI**

---

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - APE 7112B  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° w061004871

---

**Important notice**

The present document can be downloaded from:

<https://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at [www.etsi.org/deliver](http://www.etsi.org/deliver).

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx>

If you find a security vulnerability in the present document, please report it through our  
Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure Program:

<https://www.etsi.org/standards/coordinated-vulnerability-disclosure>

---

**Notice of disclaimer & limitation of liability**

The information provided in the present deliverable is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its content in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standard and applicable regulations.

No recommendation as to products and services or vendors is made or should be implied.

No representation or warranty is made that this deliverable is technically accurate or sufficient or conforms to any law and/or governmental rule and/or regulation and further, no representation or warranty is made of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose or against infringement of intellectual property rights.

In no event shall ETSI be held liable for loss of profits or any other incidental or consequential damages.

Any software contained in this deliverable is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement of intellectual property rights and ETSI shall not be held liable in any event for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of profits, business interruption, loss of information, or any other pecuniary loss) arising out of or related to the use of or inability to use the software.

---

**Copyright Notification**

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2024.  
All rights reserved.

---

# Intellectual Property Rights

## Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The declarations pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, are publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI Directives including the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation regarding the essentiality of IPRs, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

## Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

**DECT™**, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™** and **LTE™** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners. **oneM2M™** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners. **GSM®** and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

---

# Legal Notice

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities. These shall be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under <https://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp>.

---

# Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	2
Legal Notice .....	2
Modal verbs terminology.....	2
Foreword.....	4
1 Scope .....	5
2 References .....	5
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	6
3.1 Definitions .....	6
3.2 Void.....	6
3.3 Abbreviations .....	6
4 Security Requirements .....	6
4.1 Requirements on SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity reference point.....	6
4.2 Requirements on Service Capability Exposure Function (SCEF).....	7
4.3 Requirements on SCEF – Interworking SCEF reference point .....	7
4.4 Requirements on MTC .....	7
4.5 Requirements on Tsp reference point.....	7
4.6 Requirements on MTC-IWF.....	7
4.7 Requirements on T8 reference point .....	8
5 General security procedures .....	8
5.1 Security procedures for Tsp interface security .....	8
5.2 Security procedures for reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity .....	8
5.2.0 Introduction.....	8
5.2.1 SCEF is considered as a 3GPP network function .....	8
5.2.2 SCEF is not considered as a 3GPP network function .....	9
5.3 Security procedures for reference point Application – 3GPP Network Entity .....	9
5.4 Security procedures for reference point SCEF – Interworking SCEF.....	9
5.5 Security procedures for reference point SCEF-SCS/AS.....	9
5.5.1 Mutual authentication .....	9
5.5.2 Security profiles.....	9
5.5.3 Authorization of SCS/AS’s requests.....	9
5.5.4 Support for CAPIF.....	9
6 Security procedures for Device Triggering .....	10
6.1 Network based solution for filtering SMS-delivered device trigger messages.....	10
7 Security procedures for secure connection.....	11
7.1 Introduction .....	11
7.2 UE initiated secure connection.....	11
7.3 Network initiated secure connection .....	12
8 Security procedures for restricting the USIM to specific UEs .....	12
8.1 UE-based procedure with USAT application pairing .....	12
8.1.1 General.....	12
8.1.2 Security procedure .....	12
<b>Annex A (informative): Change history .....</b>	<b>14</b>
History .....	15

---

# Foreword

This Technical Specification has been produced by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
  - 1 presented to TSG for information;
  - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
  - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

---

# 1 Scope

The present document specifies the security architecture enhancements (i.e., enhancements to the security features and the security mechanisms) to facilitate Machine-Type and other mobile data applications Communications enhancements (MTCe) as per the use cases and service requirements defined in 3GPP TS 22.368 [2] and the architecture enhancements and procedures defined in 3GPP TS 23.682 [3].

---

# 2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".
- [2] 3GPP TS 22.368: "Service Requirements for Machine-Type Communications (MTC)".
- [3] 3GPP TS 23.682: "Architecture Enhancements to facilitate communications with Packet Data Networks and Applications".
- [4] 3GPP TS 29.368: "Tsp interface protocol between the MTC Interworking Function (MTC-IWF) and Service Capability Server (SCS)".
- [5] 3GPP TS 23.040: "Technical realization of the Short Message Service (SMS)".
- [6] 3GPP TS 23.142: "Value-added Services for SMS (VAS4SMS); Interface and signalling flow".
- [7] 3GPP TS 33.220: "Generic Authentication Architecture (GAA); Generic Bootstrapping Architecture (GBA)".
- [8] 3GPP TS 33.223: "Generic Authentication Architecture (GAA); Generic Bootstrapping Architecture (GBA) Push function".
- [9] 3GPP TS 23.204: "Support of Short Message Service (SMS) over generic 3GPP Internet Protocol (IP) access; Stage 2".
- [10] 3GPP TS 31.115: "Remote APDU Structure for (U)SIM Toolkit applications".
- [11] 3GPP TS 31.116: "Remote APDU Structure for (Universal) Subscriber Identity Module (U)SIM Toolkit applications".
- [12] ETSI TS 102 225: "Smart Cards; Secured packet structure for UICC based applications".
- [13] ETSI TS 102 226: "Smart cards; Remote APDU structure for UICC based applications".
- [14] 3GPP TS 31.111: "Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) Application Toolkit (USAT)".
- [15] 3GPP TS 33.210: "3G security; Network Domain Security (NDS); IP network layer security".
- [16] 3GPP TS 33.246: "MBMS Security".
- [17] 3GPP TS 33.310: "3G security; Network Domain Security (NDS); Authentication Framework (AF)".
- [18] RFC6749: "The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework".

[19] 3GPP TS 33.122: "Security Aspects of Common API Framework for 3GPP Northbound APIs".

---

## 3 Definitions and abbreviations

### 3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].

### 3.2 Void

### 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].

MTC	Machine-Type Communications
MTC-IWF	MTC Interworking Function
SCEF	Service Capability Exposure Function

---

## 4 Security Requirements

### 4.1 Requirements on SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity reference point

The SCEF is controlled by the 3GPP operator or a business partner e.g. by another operator than the 3GPP operator controlling the Network Entity or by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party, the SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity reference point shall fulfil the following requirements:

- integrity protection, replay protection, confidentiality protection and privacy protection for communication between the SCEF and 3GPP Network Entity shall be supported;
  - mutual authentication between 3GPP Network Entity and SCEF shall be supported;
  - integrity protection and replay protection shall be used;
  - confidentiality protection should be used;
  - privacy of the 3GPP user shall be provided (e.g. IMSI/IMPI shall not be sent outside the 3GPP operator's domain);
- the 3GPP Network Entity shall be able to determine whether the SCEF is authorized to send requests to the 3GPP Network Entity;
- the 3GPP Network Entity may be able to determine whether authorization shall be per UE, or optionally per service per UE.

**NOTE:** How to achieve authorization per UE or per service per UE is specific to application and network configuration. For example, if the SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity reference point does not support such authorization, the SCEF may need to contact HSS before forwarding the request to the 3GPP Network Entity (or to the IWK-SCEF in case of roaming). The mechanism of such authorization is not specified in 3GPP standards.

The same security requirements apply also when applications operating in the trust domain access network entities (e.g. PCRF), wherever the required 3GPP interface(s) are made available, directly without going through the SCEF.

## 4.2 Requirements on Service Capability Exposure Function (SCEF)

The functionality of the Service Capability Exposure Function (SCEF) includes the following:

- support ability to satisfy security requirements on SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity reference point in clause 4. 1;
- it shall be able to determine whether the Application is authorized to send requests for the 3GPP Network Entity, where authorization shall be per UE or optionally per service per UE.

NOTE: SCEF may include authentication, authorization, and access control list management functionality or it may rely on the authorization decisions made by the 3GPP Network Entity via reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity. The mechanism of such authorization performed by the SCEF is not specified in 3GPP standards. However, the mechanisms for asking authorization decision from the HSS by the SCEF are in the scope of 3GPP.

## 4.3 Requirements on SCEF – Interworking SCEF reference point

The requirements for the SCEF – Interworking SCEF reference point include the following:

- the same integrity protection, replay protection, confidentiality protection and privacy protection requirements from clause 4. 1 apply for the interface T7 between the SCEF and IWK-SCEF.
- the IWK-SCEF shall be able to determine whether the SCEF in the HPLMN is authorized to send requests for the 3GPP Network Entity.

## 4.4 Requirements on MTC

The security requirements for MTC include the following:

- MTC optimizations shall not degrade security compared to non-MTC communications 3GPP TS 22.368 [2]

## 4.5 Requirements on Tsp reference point

The Tsp reference point shall fulfil the following requirements:

- integrity protection, replay protection, confidentiality protection and privacy protection for communication between the MTC-IWF and SCS shall be supported:
  - mutual authentication between two directly communicating entities in the security domains, in which MTC-IWF and SCS respectively reside, shall be supported;
  - the use of mutual authentication shall follow the provisions in TS 29.368 [4];
  - integrity protection and replay protection shall be used;
  - confidentiality protection should be used;
  - privacy shall be provided (e.g. IMSI shall not be sent outside the 3GPP operator domain).

## 4.6 Requirements on MTC-IWF

The functionality of the MTC-IWF includes the following:

- support ability to satisfy security requirements on Tsp reference point in clause 4. 5.



## 4.7 Requirements on T8 reference point

The T8 reference point shall fulfil the following requirements:

- integrity protection, replay protection, confidentiality protection and privacy protection for communication between the SCEF and SCS/AS shall be supported:
  - mutual authentication between two directly communicating entities in the security domains, in which SCEF and SCS respectively reside, shall be supported;
  - the use of mutual authentication shall follow the provisions in clause 5.5;
  - integrity protection and replay protection shall be used;
  - confidentiality protection should be used;
  - privacy shall be provided;
  - IMSI shall not be sent outside the 3GPP operator domain.
- the SCEF shall be able to determine whether the SCS/AS is authorized to send requests to the 3GPP Network Entity;
- the SCEF may be able to determine whether authorization shall be per UE, or optionally per service per UE.

---

## 5 General security procedures

### 5.1 Security procedures for Tsp interface security

The security procedures for the Tsp interface are specified in TS 29.368 [4].

### 5.2 Security procedures for reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity

#### 5.2.0 Introduction

This clause specifies how the reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity shall be secured. There are two different scenarios to consider:

- when the SCEF is considered as a 3GPP network function; or
- when the SCEF is not considered as a 3GPP network function.

#### 5.2.1 SCEF is considered as a 3GPP network function

If the SCEF and the 3GPP Network Entity are both considered as 3GPP network functions then this interface shall be protected using NDS/IP as defined in TS 33.210 [15].

If the peers reside in different security domains, functional entity Security GW shall be used to authenticate and authorize the individual instance of SCEF and to secure the interface between the 3GPP Network Entity and the individual instance of SCEF as defined in TS 33.310 [17].

If the operator does not use the mechanisms described in this clause, then other adequate security measures shall be taken to ensure security on that interface. It is up to the operator, i.e. the owner of the 3GPP Network Entity, to decide whether the reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity is trusted or physically protected, or whether it needs protection by a cryptographic protocol as specified above.

## 5.2.2 SCEF is not considered as a 3GPP network function

The security procedure as defined for the Tsp interface in TS 29.368 [4] shall be implemented/supported, where the 3GPP Network Entity takes the role as the MTC-IWF and the SCEF takes the role as the SCS, unless the security procedures for the relevant reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity has been defined explicitly in some other specification (e.g. security procedures for MB2-C reference point are defined in TS 33.246[16]).

## 5.3 Security procedures for reference point Application – 3GPP Network Entity

The security procedure as defined for the Tsp interface in TS 29.368 [4] shall be implemented/supported, where the 3GPP Network Entity takes the role as the MTC-IWF and the Application takes the role as the SCS, unless the security procedures for the relevant reference point Application – 3GPP Network Entity has been defined explicitly in some other specification (e.g. security procedures for MB2-C reference point are defined in TS 33.246[16]).

## 5.4 Security procedures for reference point SCEF – Interworking SCEF

The interface between SCEF and Interworking SCEF shall be protected using NDS/IP as defined in TS 33.210 [15].

## 5.5 Security procedures for reference point SCEF-SCS/AS

### 5.5.1 Mutual authentication

For authentication of the T8 reference point, mutual authentication based on client and server certificates shall be performed between the SCEF and the SCS/AS using TLS.

Certificate based authentication shall follow the profiles given in 3GPP TS 33.310 [17], clauses 6.1.3a and 6.1.4a. The structure of the PKI used for the certificate is out of scope of the present document.

### 5.5.2 Security profiles

TLS shall be used to provide integrity protection, replay protection and confidentiality protection for T8 interface. The support of TLS on T8 interface is mandatory. Security profiles for TLS implementation and usage shall follow the provisions given in TS 33.310 [17], Annex E.

### 5.5.3 Authorization of SCS/AS's requests

After the authentication, SCEF determines whether the SCS / AS is authorized to send requests for the 3GPP Network Entity. The SCEF shall authorize the requests from SCS / AS using OAuth-based authorization mechanism, the specific authorization mechanisms shall follow the provisions given in RFC6749 [18].

### 5.5.4 Support for CAPIF

When the SCEF supports CAPIF as specified in sub-clause 4.4.8 in TS 23.682 [2], then CAPIF core function shall choose the appropriate CAPIF-2e security method as defined in the sub-clause 6.5.2 in TS 33.122[19] for mutual authentication, authorization and protection of the SCEF-SCS/AS interface.

## 6 Security procedures for Device Triggering

### 6.1 Network based solution for filtering SMS-delivered device trigger messages

The following solution may be implemented to filter SMS-delivered device trigger messages.

This solution relies on the fact that there is a standardized indicator in the SM that can be used to distinguish a trigger SM from other types of SM, i.e. TP Protocol Id as specified in 3GPP TS 23.040 [5].

The solution further assumes that legitimate trigger SMs are delivered via either a SMS-SC in the HPLMN that can verify the identity of the SME sending a legitimate trigger SM over Tsms, or via an MTC-IWF in the HPLMN that can verify the identity of the SCS sending a legitimate trigger SM over Tsp.

The HPLMN shall implement Home Network Routing according to 3GPP TS 23.040 [5] for Mobile Terminated SMs destined for all HPLMN subscribers that need protection against unauthorised SMS-delivered device trigger messages (e.g. all subscriptions that may be used in MEs that support SMS-delivered device triggering).

Home Network Routing shall have the effect of forcing the delivery of the SM to an SMS Router in the HPLMN rather than to the serving MSC/VLR, SGSN or MME of the destination UE. If an SM received by the SMS Router does not originate from the SMS-SC in the HPLMN that handles SMS-delivered device trigger messages, then the SMS Router shall forward the SM to infrastructure that shall filter and block all SMs that contain a trigger indication.

- Referring to the SMS architecture and function defined in 3GPP TS 23.040 [5] and 3GPP TS 23.204 [9], SMSs need to be delivered through SMS-SC. On the basis of the architecture of machine-type communication, for SMS based device trigger and 3GPP TS 23.040 [5], the SMS-SC can receive short message with the related sender and receiver's identities from three paths, i.e. SME via Tsms interface or T4 interface or from SMS-IWMSC. Filtering SMS-delivered device trigger messages is network-based, so the fake triggering SMSs from an attacker shall be distinguished and blocked to be sent by SMS-SC on the network side. The following is how these three paths work for SMS-SC to receive short messages: If an SM received by the SMS-SC in the HPLMN that handles SMS-delivered device trigger messages does not originate from the T4 interface, then the SMS-SC shall forward the SM to filtering infrastructure.  
If an SM received by the filtering infrastructure contains a trigger indication, and does not originate from a trusted SME that is authorised to send trigger SMs, then the SM shall be blocked.  
If an SM received by the filtering infrastructure contains a trigger indication, and does originate from a trusted SME that is authorised to send trigger SMs, then the filtering infrastructure shall only allow trigger requests to be sent to particular UEs that the trusted SME is authorised to send to. It is outside the scope of this specification how the filtering infrastructure shall determine if a trusted SME is allowed to send a device trigger to a particular UE.
- When SMS-SC receives short message which is forwarded by MTC-IWF via T4 interface, the SMS-SC ensures T4 interface is trusted and sends the short message, because the MTC-IWF can authenticate MTC server and ensure that only the authorized MTC Server can trigger the particular MTC devices.
- When the SMS-SC receives short messages from SMS-IWMSC, the SMS-SC shall also forward the SM to filtering infrastructure. Thus the SMS-SC can check if the SM is originated from an authorized SME by checking the receiver's authorized sender list. If not, it should block the fake SM to be sent.

If a trigger request received by the MTC-IWF originates from the Tsp interface, then the MTC-IWF shall filter and block the trigger unless it originates from a trusted SCS that is authorised to send trigger requests. The procedure is described in 3GPP TS 23.682 [3] clause 5.2.1.

The normal UE is not allowed to send MO trigger SMSs to trigger MTC devices according to section 9.2.3.9 of 3GPP TS 23.040 [5], so SMS-SC shall distinguish and block the fake MO device trigger SMSs from normal UE's subscription.

NOTE 1: Depending on operator policy, a trusted source may be authorized to send trigger messages to any UE.

In order to protect against source spoofing, the interfaces used to transport trigger messages shall be suitably secured. In particular, the Tsms, Tsp and T4 interfaces shall be secured. Tsp interface security is specified in TS 23.682 [3] clause 4.3.3.1. The security mechanisms for the Tsms interface are outside the scope of this specification.

Filtering of SMS can be performed according to the architecture specified in 3GPP TS 23.142 [6]. When the filtering entity receives an SM, it can identify if the SM is a trigger SM based on some trigger indication contained in the SM (i.e. TP Protocol Id as specified in 3GPP TS 23.040 [5]).

NOTE 2: In the above solution filtering is distributed between filtering infrastructure associated with the SMS Router, filtering infrastructure associated with the SMS-SC, and the filtering functions within the MTC-IWF. This reflects the fact that the filtering needs to be invoked by an entity which can verify the source of the SM on a locally connected interface. Whilst the SMS Router is an optional entity that may be present in the MT case only, it does not have the capability to verify the original source of messages on the Tsp or Tsms interfaces, and therefore a solution where only the SMS Router invokes filtering is not sufficient. The best place for filtering infrastructure to associate with is SMS-SC which can filter SMs received from all paths.

NOTE 3: The solution in this clause aims to protect against unauthorised entities sending potentially high volumes of trigger messages to large numbers of MTC devices to cause a Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attack against the core network. However, the solution only provides protection against SMS application level threats; it does not protect against attacks where network internal nodes or network signalling links are compromised or abused by an attacker (e.g. spoofing of MAP\_Forward\_Short\_Message operations containing trigger indications towards target UEs on an SS7 connection). If such attacks need to be mitigated, or if Home Network Routing is not supported by the HPLMN, then the solution specified in this clause is not sufficient and some form of end-to-end cryptographic protection of trigger messages is needed between the MTC Application in the network and the MTC Application in the UE. Such solutions may be provided at an application level outside the scope of 3GPP specifications. A solution to cryptographically protect trigger messages may be introduced in a future 3GPP Release.

NOTE 4: There exists a scenario in which inter-PLMN SMC-SCs are directly connected, thus the implementation of Home Network Routing is not mandatory.

---

## 7 Security procedures for secure connection

### 7.1 Introduction

The Secure Connection is a feature with which the network operator is able to efficiently provide key material for securing the application protocol between UE and a SCS (indirect model) or between UE and a MTC Application Server (direct model).

GBA, as specified in 3GPP TS 33.220 [7], is used to bootstrap authentication and key agreement for application security based on the 3GPP AKA mechanism. GBA shall be used to establish the keys for a UE initiated Secure Connection.

An extension to GBA, called GBAPush, is defined in 3GPP TS 33.223 [8]. GBAPush is also used to establish keys for application security between two entities, but unlike GBA, it is initiated from the network. GBAPush shall be used to establish the keys for a network initiated Secure Connection.

Also other mechanisms (for example, using EAP-AKA authentication in scenarios which GBA cannot apply to) can be used to provide the MTC Secure Connection feature between the UE and SCS or between the UE and MTC Application Server. These mechanisms are regarded to be outside the scope of 3GPP specifications.

The implementation of Secure Connection feature in the ME and network is optional.

### 7.2 UE initiated secure connection

This solution is restricted to such UEs that support HTTP.

A UE-initiated Secure Connection shall be established using GBA as defined in 3GPP TS 33.220 [7]. GBA shall be used regardless if the Secure Connection is between the UE and SCS or between the UE and MTC Application Server. The SCS and the MTC Application Server shall act as NAFs. The Secure Connection key establishment using GBA is outlined as follows:

The UE runs a GBA bootstrapping with the BSF via the Ub interface. This bootstrapping results in that the UE and BSF share a session key  $K_s$  and an identifier associated with the  $K_s$ , called B-TID. The UE next generates a  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  key from key  $K_s$ , and establishes a connection with the intended NAF over the Ua interface. The NAF function is performed by the SCS in the indirect model, and by the MTC Application Server in the direct model. At the start of the communication, the UE provides the NAF with the B-TID. The NAF requests the  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$ , corresponding to the B-TID from the BSF. The UE and SCS/MTC Application Server can then protect the Ua application protocol (i.e. the Secure Connection) using the shared  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  key.

It depends on the used Ua application protocol how the  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  keys are used in order to protect the communication between the UE and the SCS or between the UE and the MTC Application Server.

## 7.3 Network initiated secure connection

A network-initiated Secure Connection shall be established using GBAPush as defined in 3GPP TS 33.223 [8]. GBAPush shall be used regardless if the Secure Connection is between the UE and SCS or between the UE and MTC Application Server. The SCS and the MTC Application Server shall act as Push NAFs. The Secure Connection key establishment using GBAPush is outlined as follows:

The pushNAF, i.e. the SCS in the indirect model and the MTC Application Server in the direct model, determines the need to use GBAPush in order to establish keys for application security (i.e. a Secure Connection) with the UE. The pushNAF then requests a GBA Push-Info (GPI) and a  $K_s_{(int/ext)}_{NAF}$  key from the BSF and then forwards the GPI to the UE. The UE processes the GPI and generates a  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  key from it. The UE and pushNAF can protect the Ua application protocol (i.e. the Secure Connection) using the shared  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  key.

If the pushNAF (SCS or MTC Application Server) does not have IP connectivity with the UE, the GPI can be sent in the Device Trigger to the UE via the Tsp in case of SCS is the pushNAF and via Tsms in case of MTC Application Server (acting as SME) is the pushNAF. In this case the GPI can serve two purposes: it can be used to provide keys for the application protocol (i.e. Secure Connection) and it can also be used protect the device trigger itself in an end-to-end manner.

If the pushNAF (SCS or MTC Application Server) has IP connectivity with the UE, the GPI can be sent within the application protocol that the MTC application uses and used to provide keys for the Secure Connection.

It depends on the used Ua application protocol how the  $K_s_{(ext/int)}_{NAF}$  keys are used in order to protect the communication between the UE and the SCS or between the UE and the MTC Application Server.

---

# 8 Security procedures for restricting the USIM to specific UEs

## 8.1 UE-based procedure with USAT application pairing

### 8.1.1 General

This clause specifies how the use of a USIM can be restricted to specific MEs thanks to UE-based solution relying on USAT application pairing. The solution is optional for implementation in the User Equipment and in the operator network.

To have USAT application pairing capable User Equipment, the ME shall support USAT, as specified in 3GPP TS 31.111 [14].

### 8.1.2 Security procedure

USAT application pairing is successful when the IMEI or IMEISV retrieved from the terminal matches the value or the range of values the UICC is configured with. USAT application pairing fails if the terminal does not support USAT command PROVIDE LOCAL INFORMATION.

An UE supporting USAT application pairing proceeds to Profile download as specified in 31.111 [14]. Then, the USIM requests IMEI(SV) using PROVIDE LOCAL INFORMATION proactive command. The ME sends the TERMINAL RESPONSE with its IMEI(SV).

The file EF<sub>IWL</sub> stores the IMEI(SV) or range of value to which the USIM is bound.

The file EF<sub>IPS</sub> stores the status of the last pairing check performed by the UICC. The UICC checks the combination of USIM and MTC ME and sets the status flag to “OK” in case of successful pairing check. The UICC also stores in the file EF<sub>IPD</sub> the IME(SV) value of the MTC ME. In case of unsuccessful pairing check, the USIM sets the status flag to “KO” in the file EF<sub>IPS</sub> and stores in the file EF<sub>IPD</sub> the IME(SV) value of the unauthorized MTC ME.

The status flag of pairing check (with value “OK” or “KO”) stored in the file EF<sub>IPS</sub> can be read by any terminal hosting the UICC. But, the IMEI(SV) value stored in the file EF<sub>IPD</sub> is protected by ADM right, only the operator can retrieve this information. The information stored in the file EF<sub>IPD</sub> provide a mechanism to detect change of association between a USIM and a MTC ME. The information stored in the files EF<sub>IPS</sub> and EF<sub>IPD</sub> can be read out locally by the maintenance persons.

The UICC shall respond to any AUTHENTICATE command with error status words if:

- IMEI or IMEISV provided by the ME is not in the corresponding white list configured in the USIM (EF<sub>IWL</sub>)

Or

- ME has not provided either IMEI or IMEISV

If the AUTHENTICATE command had been executed before the pairing procedure has been successfully performed (in the case of pre-Rel-12 MEs), the UICC may need to trigger a network attachment procedure by sending a proactive command REFRESH(3G SESSION RESET).

UICC OTA mechanism (as specified in 3GPP TS 31.115 [10] / TS 31116 [11] and ETSI TS 102 225 [12] and TS 102 226 [13]) is used to update the file EF<sub>IWL</sub> stored in the USIM. This mechanism provides dynamic management of the pairing to change the allowed combinations of USIM and MTC ME(s) by adding or removing authorized IMEI(SV) values or IMEI(SV) ranges the file EF<sub>IWL</sub>.

## Annex A (informative): Change history

Change history							
Date	TSG #	TSG Doc.	CR	Rev	Subject/Comment	Old	New
2014-06	SA#64	SP-140318	001	1	Modification on clause 6.1 of TS 33.187	12.0.0	12.1.0
2015-03	SA#67	SP-150078	002	1	USAT Pairing update	12.1.0	12.2.0
2015-09	SA#69	SP-150473	003	2	Security requirements for Service Capability Exposure Function (SCEF)	12.2.0	13.0.0
			004	2	Security procedures for reference point SCEF – 3GPP Network Entity		

Change history							
Date	Meeting	TDoc	CR	Rev	Cat	Subject/Comment	New version
2016-12	SA#74					Insertion of correct LTE logo (MCC)	13.0.1
2017-03	SA#75	-	-	-	-	Update to Rel-14 version (MCC)	14.0.0
2018-01	SA#78	SP-170872	0008	1	F	Editorial	14.1.0
2018-03	SA#79	SP-180046	0012	-	B	Security requirements and procedures for T8 reference point	15.0.0
2018-06	SA#80	SP-180448	0013	-	B	CAPIF support for T8 interface	15.1.0
2020-07	-	-	-	-	-	Update to Rel-16 version (MCC)	16.0.0
2022-03	-	-	-	-	-	Update to Rel-17 version (MCC)	17.0.0
2024-03	-	-	-	-	-	Update to Rel-18 version (MCC)	18.0.0

---

# History

<b>Document history</b>		
V18.0.0	April 2024	Publication