

# ETSI TS 119 322 V1.2.1 (2024-12)



## **Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructure (ESI); Schema for machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues**

---

**Reference**RTS/ESI-0019322v121

---

---

**Keywords**algorithm, interoperability, security

---

**ETSI**

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

---

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - APE 7112B  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° w061004871

---

**Important notice**

---

The present document can be downloaded from the  
[ETSI Search & Browse Standards](#) application.

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format on [ETSI deliver](#) repository.

Users should be aware that the present document may be revised or have its status changed,  
this information is available in the [Milestones listing](#).

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comments to  
the relevant service listed under [Committee Support Staff](#).

If you find a security vulnerability in the present document, please report it through our  
[Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure \(CVD\)](#) program.

---

**Notice of disclaimer & limitation of liability**

---

The information provided in the present deliverable is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its content in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standard and applicable regulations.

No recommendation as to products and services or vendors is made or should be implied.

No representation or warranty is made that this deliverable is technically accurate or sufficient or conforms to any law and/or governmental rule and/or regulation and further, no representation or warranty is made of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose or against infringement of intellectual property rights.

In no event shall ETSI be held liable for loss of profits or any other incidental or consequential damages.

Any software contained in this deliverable is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement of intellectual property rights and ETSI shall not be held liable in any event for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of profits, business interruption, loss of information, or any other pecuniary loss) arising out of or related to the use of or inability to use the software.

---

**Copyright Notification**

---

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2024.  
All rights reserved.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	5
Foreword.....	5
Modal verbs terminology.....	5
Introduction .....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 References .....	6
2.1 Normative references .....	6
2.2 Informative references.....	6
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations.....	7
3.1 Terms.....	7
3.2 Symbols.....	7
3.3 Abbreviations .....	7
4 Overall structure of algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues .....	7
4.1 Overview .....	7
4.2 Elements of a machine-readable algorithm catalogue .....	8
4.2.1 Security-suitability-policy .....	8
4.2.2 Policy-name .....	8
4.2.3 Publisher .....	8
4.2.4 Policy-issue-date.....	8
4.2.5 NextUpdate .....	8
4.2.6 Usage .....	8
4.2.7 Algorithm.....	8
4.2.8 Algorithm-identifier.....	8
4.2.9 Evaluation .....	8
4.2.9.1 General description .....	8
4.2.9.2 Algorithm-usage.....	8
4.2.9.3 Recommendation .....	10
4.2.10 Parameter .....	10
4.2.11 Validity .....	10
4.2.12 Information .....	10
4.2.13 Signature.....	10
5 Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues .....	10
6 Definition of Parameters .....	10
7 Processing.....	10
8 Security Considerations.....	11
<b>Annex A (normative): XML Policy Schema .....</b>	<b>12</b>
A.1 SecuritySuitabilityPolicy.....	12
A.2 PolicyName .....	12
A.3 Publisher.....	12
A.4 Policy-issue-date .....	12
A.5 NextUpdate.....	12
A.6 Usage.....	12
A.7 Algorithm .....	12
A.8 AlgorithmIdentifier .....	12
A.9 Evaluation.....	13

A.9.1	MoreDetails .....	13
A.9.2	AlgorithmUsage .....	13
A.9.3	Recommendation.....	13
A.10	Parameter.....	13
A.11	Validity.....	13
A.12	Information.....	13
A.13	Signature.....	14
A.14	XML Schema file location for namespace <a href="http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#">http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#</a> .....	14
<b>Annex B (normative): JSON format .....</b>		<b>15</b>
B.1	General information .....	15
B.2	JSON schema .....	15
B.2.1	SecuritySuitabilityPolicy.....	15
B.2.2	PolicyName .....	15
B.2.3	Publisher.....	16
B.2.4	PolicyIssueDate.....	16
B.2.5	NextUpdate.....	16
B.2.6	Usage.....	16
B.2.7	Algorithm .....	16
B.2.8	AlgorithmIdentifier .....	16
B.2.9	Evaluation.....	17
B.2.9.1	General description .....	17
B.2.9.2	AlgorithmUsage.....	17
B.2.9.3	Recommendation .....	17
B.2.10	Parameter.....	17
B.2.11	Validity.....	17
B.2.12	Information.....	18
B.2.13	Signature.....	18
B.3	JSON Schema file location.....	18
History .....		19

---

# Intellectual Property Rights

## Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The declarations pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, are publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the [ETSI IPR online database](#).

Pursuant to the ETSI Directives including the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation regarding the essentiality of IPRs, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

## Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

**DECT™**, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™**, **LTE™** and **5G™** logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners. **oneM2M™** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners. **GSM®** and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

---

# Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI).

---

# Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

---

# Introduction

The assessment of the suitability of cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites used in the context of digital signatures, seals, or timestamps, as well as related certificates, is essential during their respective lifecycles. Starting from the creation of a signature at a given time and date when only certain algorithms meet the requirements regarding e.g. the collision resistance, and every time when validating the signature until the data is no longer needed or submitted to a preservation system one needs to assess the suitability of all algorithms involved and their respective validity periods.

Catalogues, such as ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2] or the agreed cryptographic mechanisms from SOG-IS [i.3], when given only in human-readable form, need to be translated into a machine-readable one by each provider of signature-related services individually to implement reliable digital-only processes.

The present document derives a generic schema based on IETF RFC 5698 [1] and initially specifies two different formats thereof. It aims at supporting crypto agility, at increasing the (cross-border) interoperability as well as at supporting backwards compatibility with regards to systems already in place when defining this schema such as long-term preservation systems.

---

# 1 Scope

The present document provides a generic schema for machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues based on IETF RFC 5698 [1] and specifies different formats such as XML [i.4] and JSON [i.5].

The present document is limited to a descriptive schema for algorithms and all parameters involved. No assessment of the suitability of any cryptographic algorithm, or cipher suite is given in the present document.

The present document focuses on signature-related algorithms, and cipher suites, and puts an emphasis on enabling a refined suitability description of the algorithms with respect to its status of recommendation as well as the specific context of usage an algorithm is assessed for.

---

## 2 References

### 2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found in the [ETSI docbox](#).

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

- [1] [IETF RFC 5698 \(11-2009\)](#): "Data Structure for the Security Suitability of Cryptographic Algorithms (DSSC)".

### 2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI TR 119 001: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); The framework for standardization of signatures; Definitions and abbreviations".
- [i.2] ETSI TS 119 312: "Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); Cryptographic Suites".
- [i.3] SOG-IS Crypto Working Group: "SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme - Agreed Cryptographic Mechanisms" Version 1.2, January 2020.
- [i.4] The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C®): "[Extensible Markup Language \(XML\)](#)".
- [i.5] [IETF RFC 8259 \(12-2017\)](#): "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format".
- [i.6] [JSON Schema Specification](#).
- [i.7] The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C®): "[XML namespace definition](#)".

- [i.8] ETSI TS 119 182-1: "Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); JAdES digital signatures; Part 1: Building blocks and JAdES baseline signatures".
- [i.9] ETSI EN 319 132-1: "Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); XAdES digital signatures; Part 1: Building blocks and XAdES baseline signatures".

---

## 3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

### 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in ETSI TR 119 001 [i.1], IETF RFC 5698 [1] and the following apply:

**AdES (digital) signature:** digital signature that is either a CAdES signature (CMS), or a PAdES signature (PDF), or a XAdES signature (XML), or a JAdES signature (JSON)

### 3.2 Symbols

Void.

### 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in ETSI TR 119 001 [i.1] and the following apply:

CMS	Cryptographic Message Syntax
DSA	Digital Signature Algorithm
DSSC	Data Structure for the Security Suitability of Cryptographic Algorithms
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
OCSP	Online Certificate Status Protocol
OID	Object Identifier
RSA	RSA cryptosystem, named after Rivest, Shamir, Adleman
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOG-IS	Senior Officials Group Information Systems Security
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
XML	eXtensible Markup Language

---

## 4 Overall structure of algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues

### 4.1 Overview

The present document is based on IETF RFC 5698 [1].

A machine-readable catalogue may contain only a subset of the defined algorithms.

Clause 4.2 describes the semantic of the different elements of the machine-readable algorithm catalogue independent of the specific format used.

Annexes A and B specify the format-specific syntax for XML [i.4], [i.7] and JSON [i.5], [i.6] instances of this schema, respectively.

All the semantic of elements shall remain as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1] where not stated otherwise.

## 4.2 Elements of a machine-readable algorithm catalogue

### 4.2.1 Security-suitability-policy

The *security-suitability-policy* element shall be the root element of the machine-readable catalogue.

The semantic of the *security-suitability-policy* element shall be as defined IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.1.

### 4.2.2 Policy-name

The semantic of the *policy-name* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.2.

### 4.2.3 Publisher

The semantic of the *publisher* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.3.

### 4.2.4 Policy-issue-date

The semantic of the *policy-issue-date* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.4.

### 4.2.5 NextUpdate

The semantic of the *next-update* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.5.

### 4.2.6 Usage

The semantic of the *usage* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.6.

### 4.2.7 Algorithm

The semantic of the *algorithm* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.7.

### 4.2.8 Algorithm-identifier

The semantic of the *algorithm-identifier* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.8.

### 4.2.9 Evaluation

#### 4.2.9.1 General description

The semantic of the *evaluation* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.9.

The *evaluation* element may contain a *more-details* element.

The *more-details* element may contain zero, one or more *algorithm-usage* elements as defined in clause 4.2.9.2 to allow parameter- and context-specific evaluations of cryptographic mechanisms.

The *more-details* element may contain a *recommendation* element as defined in clause 4.2.9.3 to distinguish between recommended and legacy mechanisms, as defined in ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2], clause 3.1.

The syntax is specified in clauses A.9 (XML) and B.2.9 (JSON), respectively.

#### 4.2.9.2 Algorithm-usage

The *algorithm-usage* element shall contain a URI which allows to further distinguish the validity period of an algorithm based on the usage.




EXAMPLE 1: It allows to differentiate between signature creation and signature validation use cases.

NOTE 1: The amount of data of certificates is comparably small to arbitrary documents, hence when applying a hash algorithm to certificates they are less affected by lowered collision-resistance of the hash algorithm, which in turn could allow for a prolonged suitability period in this specific use case.

Omitting all usage types shall be equivalent to no restriction at all.

When the *validity* element is provided in the corresponding *evaluation* element containing an *algorithm-usage* element, all use cases hierarchical below the one given are included according to the hierarchy diagram (figure 1).



**Figure 1: Algorithm usage type hierarchy**

EXAMPLE 2: Sign data will include all other types, i.e. it is equivalent to no restriction when no further restriction is provided for the use cases lying below.

NOTE 2: The hierarchy tree inherently includes ambiguity, i.e. there is more than one path from root (sign data) to tree leaves of validation types.

The following algorithm-usage URIs are defined:

- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for any signed data.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/sign\\_certificates](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_certificates) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing certificates.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/sign\\_ocsp](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_ocsp) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing OCSP responses.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/sign\\_timestamps](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_timestamps) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing timestamps.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/sign\\_certificates](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_certificates) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing certificates.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/sign\\_certificates](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/sign_certificates) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for signing certificates.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/validate\\_data](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of any signed data.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/validate\\_data/validate\\_certificates](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_certificates) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of certificates.
- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/validate\\_data/validate\\_ocsp](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_ocsp) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of OCSP responses.

- [http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign\\_data/validate\\_data/validate\\_timestamps](http://uri.etsi.org/19322/sign_data/validate_data/validate_timestamps) shall be used to indicate that the evaluation is applicable for the validation of timestamps.

NOTE 3: The present document defines URIs which are not used as pointers to a specific location but are used as unique identifiers.

#### 4.2.9.3 Recommendation

The *recommendation* element shall be used to indicate that a mechanism and its parameters are either Recommended (R) or Legacy (L), as defined in ETSI TS 119 312 [i.2], clause 3.1.

Omitting this element shall be equivalent to a recommended mechanism when the end date is absent or lies in the future.

#### 4.2.10 Parameter

The semantic of the *parameter* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.10.

#### 4.2.11 Validity

The semantic of the *validity* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.11.

#### 4.2.12 Information

The semantic of the *information* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.12.

#### 4.2.13 Signature

The *signature* element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.13.

---

## 5 Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues

Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues may be defined in different formats.

Two formats are specified in the annexes A (XML [i.4]) and B (JSON [i.5]). Additional formats may be defined in a future version of the present document.

Machine-readable cryptographic algorithms, and cipher suites catalogues should be published in all defined formats, i.e. in XML and JSON format until further additions.

---

## 6 Definition of Parameters

For interoperability reasons the recommendation of parameters names for RSA and DSA of IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 5 should be followed.

---

## 7 Processing

The processing shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 6.

---

## 8 Security Considerations

The security considerations shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 7.

---

## Annex A (normative): XML Policy Schema

### A.1 SecuritySuitabilityPolicy

The `SecuritySuitabilityPolicy` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.1.

The `id` attribute shall be included when the catalogue is signed.

---

### A.2 PolicyName

The `PolicyName` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.2.

---

### A.3 Publisher

The `Publisher` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.3.

---

### A.4 Policy-issue-date

The `Policy-issue-date` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.4.

---

### A.5 NextUpdate

The `NextUpdate` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.5.

---

### A.6 Usage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the `SecuritySuitabilityPolicy` element (see clause A.1).

---

### A.7 Algorithm

The `Algorithm` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.7.

---

### A.8 AlgorithmIdentifier

The `AlgorithmIdentifier` shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.8.

---

## A.9 Evaluation

### A.9.1 MoreDetails

NOTE: Instead of the any element of the Evaluation element of IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.9 the MoreDetails element of ExtentionType is used for XML instances of the present schema.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema elementFormDefault="qualified" targetNamespace="http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#"
xmlns="http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#" xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">

  <xs:element name="MoreDetails" type="ExtensionType"/>

  <xs:complexType mixed="true" name="ExtensionType">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="AlgorithmUsage" type="xs:anyURI" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xs:element name="Recommendation" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1">
        <xs:simpleType>
          <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
            <xs:enumeration value="R"/>
            <xs:enumeration value="L"/>
          </xs:restriction>
        </xs:simpleType>
      </xs:element>
      <xs:sequence maxOccurs="unbounded" minOccurs="0">
        <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any"/>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

### A.9.2 AlgorithmUsage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the MoreDetails element (see clause A.9.1).

### A.9.3 Recommendation

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the MoreDetails element (see clause A.9.1).

---

## A.10 Parameter

The Parameter element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.10.

---

## A.11 Validity

The Validity element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.11.

---

## A.12 Information

The Information element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.12.

---

## A.13 Signature

The `Signature` element shall be as defined in IETF RFC 5698 [1], clause 3.13.

XAdES [i.9] should be used to sign XML catalogue instances.

---

## A.14 XML Schema file location for namespace <http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#>

The file available at [https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19\\_322\\_algocat\\_schema/raw/v1.2.1/19322algocatxmlschema.xsd](https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/raw/v1.2.1/19322algocatxmlschema.xsd) ("19322algocatxmlschema.xsd") contains the definitions of elements and types defined within the namespace whose URI value is <http://uri.etsi.org/19322/v1.1.1#>.

NOTE: Independent of the present document, the latest version of the XML Schema file is linked to [https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19\\_322\\_algocat\\_schema/-/blob/master/19322algocatxmlschema.xsd](https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/-/blob/master/19322algocatxmlschema.xsd).

The hash values of the XML Schema file depending on the used line ends using SHA-256 are:

- CR LF: a8678baae17b328727c52c6bf0b277526821380b0353149b75af141d9ccec1ad;
- LF: a5a812f5eee845d7d692612dad77d41ceae16d8e3089d853c89bde5fd103dfb9; or
- CR: 927e7b3cd895477e2af7936aeb4a3f85d74b35c52604158b66832c7b8efd6339.

## Annex B (normative): JSON format

### B.1 General information

NOTE: The JSON schema defined in [i.6] is used.

All suitability policy elements are entries of the array anyOf as indicated in clause B.2.

The object definitions contains all type definitions of the suitability policy elements as indicated in clause B.2.

### B.2 JSON schema

#### B.2.1 SecuritySuitabilityPolicy

```
"definitions": {
  "SecuritySuitabilityPolicyType": {
    "type": "object",
    "required": [
      "PolicyName",
      "Publisher",
      "PolicyIssueDate",
      "Algorithm"
    ],
    "properties": {
      "PolicyName": {"$ref": "#/definitions/PolicyNameType"},
      "Publisher": {"$ref": "#/definitions/PublisherType"},
      "PolicyIssueDate": {
        "type": "string",
        "format": "date-time"
      },
      "NextUpdate": {
        "type": "string",
        "format": "date-time"
      },
      "Usage": {"type": "string"},
      "Algorithm": {
        "type": "array",
        "items": {"$ref": "#/definitions/AlgorithmType"},
        "minItems": 1
      },
      "Signature": {"$ref": "#/definitions/SignatureType"},
      "version": {
        "type": "string",
        "default": "1"
      },
      "lang": {
        "type": "string",
        "default": "en"
      }
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}
```

#### B.2.2 PolicyName

```
"PolicyNameType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Name"],
  "properties": {
    "Name": {"type": "string"},
    "ObjectIdentifier": {"type": "string"},
    "URI": {
      "type": "string",

```

```

        "format": "uri"
      }
    },
    "additionalProperties": false
  }
}

```

### B.2.3 Publisher

```

"PublisherType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Name"],
  "properties": {
    "Name": {"type": "string"},
    "Address": {"type": "string"},
    "URI": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri"
    }
  }
},
"additionalProperties": false
}

```

### B.2.4 PolicyIssueDate

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

### B.2.5 NextUpdate

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

### B.2.6 Usage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the SecuritySuitabilityPolicy element (see clause B.2.1).

### B.2.7 Algorithm

```

"AlgorithmType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": [
    "AlgorithmIdentifier",
    "Evaluation"
  ],
  "properties": {
    "AlgorithmIdentifier": {"$ref": "#/definitions/AlgorithmIdentifierType"},
    "Evaluation": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": {"$ref": "#/definitions/EvaluationType"},
      "minItems": 1
    },
    "Information": {"$ref": "#/definitions/InformationType"}
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

```

### B.2.8 AlgorithmIdentifier

```

"AlgorithmIdentifierType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": [
    "Name",
    "ObjectIdentifier"
  ],
  "properties": {
    "Name": {"type": "string"},
    "ObjectIdentifier": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "oid",
      "minItems": 1
    }
  }
}

```



```

    },
    "URI": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri",
      "minItems": 0
    },
    "additionalProperties": false
  }
}

```

## B.2.9 Evaluation

### B.2.9.1 General description

```

"EvaluationType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Validity"],
  "properties": {
    "Parameter": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": {"$ref": "#/definitions/ParameterType"},
      "minItems": 0
    },
    "Validity": {"$ref": "#/definitions/ValidityType"},
    "AlgorithmUsage": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "uri"
    },
    "Recommendation": {
      "type": "string",
      "pattern": "L|R"
    },
    "Any": {"type": "object"}
  },
  "additionalProperties": true
}

```

### B.2.9.2 AlgorithmUsage

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the Evaluation element (see clause B.2.9.1).

### B.2.9.3 Recommendation

NOTE: This element is defined as part of the Evaluation element (see clause B.2.9.1).

## B.2.10 Parameter

```

"ParameterType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["name"],
  "properties": {
    "Min": {"type": "integer"},
    "Max": {"type": "integer"},
    "Any": {"type": "object"},
    "name": {"type": "string"}
  },
  "additionalProperties": true
}

```

## B.2.11 Validity

```

"ValidityType": {
  "type": "object",
  "properties": {
    "Start": {
      "type": "string",
      "format": "date"
    },
    "End": {

```

```

        "type": "string",
        "format": "date"
    },
    "additionalProperties": false
}

```

## B.2.12 Information

```

"InformationType": {
  "type": "object",
  "required": ["Text"],
  "properties": {
    "Text": {
      "type": "array",
      "items": {"type": "string"},
      "minItems": 1
    }
  },
  "additionalProperties": false
}

```

## B.2.13 Signature

JAdES [i.8] should be used to sign JSON catalogue instances.

---

## B.3 JSON Schema file location

The file available at [https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19\\_322\\_algocat\\_schema/raw/v1.2.1/19322algocatjsonschema.json](https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/raw/v1.2.1/19322algocatjsonschema.json) ("19322algocatjsonschema.json") contains the definitions of elements and types defined within the JSON schema associated to the present document.

NOTE: Independent of the present document, the latest version of the JSON Schema file is linked to [https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19\\_322\\_algocat\\_schema/-/blob/master/19322algocatjsonschema.json](https://forge.etsi.org/rep/esi/x19_322_algocat_schema/-/blob/master/19322algocatjsonschema.json).

The hash values of the JSON Schema file depending on the used line ends using SHA-256 are:

- CR LF: 7f15c62df0ea6ed731b679d428adb3921df720ea029f10147b6116099458d225;
- LF: 096e024ce57f2589d00c1cc354a19e84c22d7ae5beb4c6de07e74c993f7c1cd3; or
- CR: ce272be358054f2521aaca1db8d68fbc5f67cd70a248986e1e6a121bed4bfaa9.

---

## History

<b>Document history</b>		
V1.1.1	November 2022	Publication
V1.2.1	December 2024	Publication